

Topics in Behavioral Economics: „Context-Dependent Risk Preferences“

The standard model of choice under risk in economic theory is Expected Utility Theory (EUT). Over the years, however, several descriptive deficiencies of EUT have been identified. These descriptive deficiencies of EUT led to alternative, psychologically motivated theories of choice under risk being proposed – such as Prospect Theory (Kahneman und Tversky, 1979) or Regret Theory (1982).

A relatively recent psychologically motivated theory of choice under risk is Saliency Theory (Bordalo et al. 2012). Saliency Theory posits that a decision maker’s attention in the comparison of several risky choice alternatives is drawn to salient payoff combinations. This leads to the occurrence probabilities of very salient (rather non-salient) payoff combinations being overweighted (underweighted) in the decision making process. At first glance, Saliency Theory thus seems to be a theory of probability distortions – not unlike Prospect Theory. Surprisingly, however, the theoretical predictions of Saliency Theory bear striking resemblance to the predictions of Regret Theory, which posits no probability distortions at all.

Our goal in this seminar is to dig somewhat deeper and to better understand the relationship of these theories. More specifically, we will derive the indifference curves under “smooth” Saliency Theory (p.1255 in Bordalo et al. (2012)) in the probability triangle and compare the indifference curves under Saliency Theory with the indifference curves under Prospect Theory and Regret Theory.

A good starting point is the survey article by Starmer (2000).

Literature:

- Bordalo, P., Gennaioli, N., Shleifer, A., 2012. “Saliency theory for choice under risk.” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 127, 1243-1285.
- Loomes, G., Sugden, R., 1982. “Regret theory: An alternative theory of rational choice under uncertainty.” *Economic Journal*, Vol. 92, 805-824.
- Loomes, G., Sugden, R., 1987. “Some implications of a more general form of regret theory.” *Journal of Economic Theory*, Vol. 41, 270-287.
- Kahneman, D., Tversky, A., 1979. “Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk.” *Econometrica*, Vol. 47, 263-291.
- Tversky, A., Kahneman, D., 1992. “Advances in prospect theory: Cumulative representation of uncertainty.” *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, Vol. 5, 297–323.
- Starmer, C., 2000. “Developments in non-expected utility theory: The hunt for a descriptive theory of choice under risk.” *Journal of Economic Literature*, Vol. 38, 332-382.

Requirements:

Presentation and term paper.

Prerequisites:

Participants should have successfully participated in the class „Advanced Microeconomics“ and have a sound interest in (even better, fun with) formal theoretic analysis.