# Lehrstuhl für Logistik u. Quant. Meth. in d. BWL Managerial Analytics and Decision Making (WS21/22) Erfasste Fragebögen = 9



	Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen									
4										
1.	1. Managerial Analytics and Decision Making (Prof. Dr. Richard Pibernik) - Lecture									
1.1)	How many sessions of the lecture did you attend?									
		less than 30%							0%	n=9
	betwee	en 30% and 80%							33.3%	
		more than 80%							66.7%	
1.2)	The pace of the lecture is									
		too slow							0%	n=9
		appropriate							100%	
		too fast							0%	
			_							
1.3)	The quantity of the material covered in the lecture is									
		too low							0%	n=9
		appropriate							44.4%	
		too high							55.6%	
		-								
1.4)	The level of difficulty of the lecture is									
	·····	too low		)					11.1%	n=9
		appropriate	$\square$	)					44.4%	
		too high	$\square$						44.4%	
			<u> </u>		)					
1.5)	The sequence of topics in the lecture is logical.	completely agree	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	completely disagree	n=8
										mw=1,6 s=0,5
										E.=1
1.6)	Practical applications are being adequately provided.	completely agree	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	completely disagree	n=8 mw=1,5
										s=0,5 E.=1
1.7)	The delivery of the lecture was enhanced by the use of media.	completely agree	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	completely disagree	n=8
										mw=1,6 s=0,5 E.=1
				20%			80%	100%		
1.8)	The course material (manuals, recommended reading etc.) is well structured and useful.	completely agree	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	completely disagree	n=8 mw=2,4
										s=0,7 E.=1
2.	The lecturer									
0.4			0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%		
2.1)	shows interest in student's success.	completely agree	0 /8	2078	4078	0078	80 /8	100 %	completely disagree	n=9 mw=1,4
										s=0,9
2.2)	is well prepared.	completely agree	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	completely disagree	n=9
		completely agree							complexely alongitud	mw=1,3 s=0,5

	agree n=9 mw=1,8 s=0,7
2.4) answers questions appropriatly and comprehensibly.	agree n=9 mw=2 s=0,7
<sup>2.5)</sup> Please rate the lecturer on a grading scale (from 1 - very good - to 6 - fail).	n=9 mw=1,8 s=0,7
4. Managerial Analytics and Decision Making (Allgemein) - The Module	
4.1) On average, how many hours each week do you spend on preparation and revision for the entire module?	
on average, now many nours each week do you spend on preparation and revision for <u>are entire module</u> :	n=9
none 0% ≤ 1 hour 11.1%	
≤ 2 hours 33.3%	
≤ 3 hours 33.3%	
≤ 4 hours 0%	
≤ 5 hours 0%	
≤ 6 hours 11.1%	
> 6 hours 11.1%	
<sup>4.2)</sup> In my opinion, the workload for the entire module is	
too low 12.5%	n=8
appropiate 12.5%	
too high 75%	
<sup>4.3)</sup> The course requirements and assessment standards are clear to me.	• IIIw-3
	s=0,5
<sup>4.4)</sup> The course(s) of the module provide enough 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% completely agree	n=9
opportunities to prepare for the exam.	mw=2,6 s=1
4.5) Du attending this module Lean convince relevant 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%	
<sup>4.5</sup> By attending this module I can acquire relevant knowledge and skills required for my degree.	agree n=9 mw=1,4 s=0,5
	t all n=9 mw=2,2
<sup>4.6)</sup> How satisfied are you with the module in general?	s=0,4
	3-0,4
Now satisfied are you with the module in general?	3-0,4
	3-0,4
Now satisfied are you with the module in general?	5-0, <del>1</del>



<sup>5.2)</sup> For which degree subject are you enrolled?		
Wirtschaftswissenschaf	0%	n=9
Information Systems/Wirtschaftsinformatik	0%	
Wirtschaftsmathematik	0%	
Nebenfach Wirtschaftswissenschaf	0%	
Management/Business Managemen	) 100%	
Int. Economic Policy/Economics	0%	
othe	0%	
<sup>5.3)</sup> For which degree programme are you enrolled?	 	
Bachelo	0%	n=9
Master	) 100%	
other degree	0%	
<sup>5.4)</sup> Have you obtained a Bachelor's Degree at the University of Würzbu	 	
	 00.00/	n=9
yes	33.3%	
nc	66.7%	
abstentior	0%	
<sup>5.5)</sup> What is your subject semester (Fachsemester)?	 	
1s	55.6%	n=9
2nc	11.1%	
3rc	22.2%	
4tr	11.1%	
5tt	0%	
6tr	0%	
7tt	0%	
8tr	0%	
higher than 8th	0%	
<sup>5.6)</sup> Please indicate your gender:	 	·
male	66.7%	n=9
	33.3%	
female	0%	
diverse	0.0	

## Profillinie

#### Teilbereich:

#### Master BWL WS

Modulverantwortlicher:

Lehrstuhl für Logistik u. Quant. Meth. in d. BWL

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Managerial Analytics and Decision Making (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

1.5)	The sequence of topics in the lecture is logical.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=8	mw=1,6	md=2,0	s=0,5
1.6)	Practical applications are being adequately provided.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=8	mw=1,5	md=1,5	s=0,5
1.7)	The delivery of the lecture was enhanced by the use of media.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=8	mw=1,6	md=2,0	s=0,5
1.8)	The course material (manuals, recommended reading etc.) is well structured and useful.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=8	mw=2,4	md=2,5	s=0,7
2.1)	shows interest in student's success.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=9	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,9
2.2)	is well prepared.	completely agree	╞╋	completely disagree	n=9	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,5
2.3)	presents the course material in an understandable way.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=9	mw=1,8	md=2,0	s=0,7
2.4)	answers questions appropriatly and comprehensibly.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=9	mw=2,0	md=2,0	s=0,7
2.5)	Please rate the lecturer on a grading scale (from 1 - very good - to 6 - fail).	1	$  \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}}        $	6	n=9	mw=1,8	md=2,0	s=0,7
4.3)	The course requirements and assessment standards are clear to me.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=9	mw=3,0	md=3,0	s=0,5
4.4)	The course(s) of the module provide enough opportunities to prepare for the exam.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=9	mw=2,6	md=3,0	s=1,0
4.5)	By attending this module I can acquire relevant knowledge and skills required for my degree.	completely agree		completely disagree	n=9	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,5
4.6)	How satisfied are you with the module in general?	very satisfied		not satisfied at all	n=9	mw=2,2	md=2,0	s=0,4

## Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

### 3. Your personal comments concerning the lecture

3.1)

What aspects of the lecture and/or the lecturer do you like?

- It is very "practical". I like learning about the decision making process because it will help me
- Working with @Risk in Excel as a practical example for simualtion analysis
- im learning a lot of stuff with real life example and i like Prof. Pibernik teaching method. (never boring)

- <sup>3.2)</sup> What aspects of the lecture and/or the lecturer do you not like? What improvements would you recommend?
- High workload of reading assignments, I would prefer starting the team exercises already during the online sessions for better coordination with the other students
- I always struggele with the preparation parts between weeks, as it is not the ususal lecture style. But might also be good to get out of the comfort zone
- Somtimes it is hard to understand what we should prepare for the next week. Also how "deep" we should go in the preparation for the next week.
- The risk simulation was only available for windows so I couldn't always use it on my PC. Apart from this, an excel document is given directly at the entrance of the E-learning videos, maybe it would be better if we prepare it together from the very beginning.